

EXECUTIVE CABINET

THURSDAY, 8TH DECEMBER 2016, 6.30 PM
COUNCIL CHAMBER, TOWN HALL, CHORLEY

I am now able to enclose, for consideration at the above meeting of the Executive Cabinet, the following reports that were unavailable when the agenda was published.

Agenda No	Item	
3	PUBLIC QUESTIONS Members of the public who have requested the opportunity to ask a question(s) on an item(s) on the agenda will have three minutes to put their question(s) to the respective Executive Member(s). Each member of the public will be allowed to ask one short supplementary question. A number of questions will be submitted at the meeting by Andrew Birchall on behalf of the Protect Chorley hospital from Cuts and Privatisation Campaign (enclosed) The Executive Leader will respond to these questions.	(Pages 41 - 42)
4	BOTANY BAY MASTERPLAN The report of the Chief Executive (enclosed)	(Pages 43 - 50)

GARY HALL
CHIEF EXECUTIVE

Electronic agendas sent to Members of the Executive Cabinet

If you need this information in a different format, such as larger print or translation, please get in touch on 515151 or chorley.gov.uk

This page is intentionally left blank

Please see below the questions we would like Chorley District council to answer at their executive meeting Thursday 8th December 2016.

1. When did you first become involved in the Commissioning, Sustainability, and Transformation plans?
2. What Health and Social Care meetings are the Council involved in and could you please list the organisations, and how often they meet?
3. Where can we find the minutes of these meetings?
4. Who attends on behalf of the council and what is their remit?
5. Is your role to help develop publicly owned and democratically accountable and controlled Health and Social Care facilities?
6. Does the council have a plan or policy for the future of Health and Social care?
7. Does the council intend to be involved in co-commissioning?
8. Where is the money to invest in new facilities coming from?
9. Which cabinet member is responsible for overseeing and communicating with other Health and Social Care organisations and the public?
10. Do you intend to hold public meetings to inform the public of your policy, role and the changes that are proposed?
11. Are your discussions part of the future devolved Governance of Local Government across Lancashire and south Cumbria?
12. Will these devolution plans be put before the public on whether they want devolution or not?
13. At what point would you say you are unwilling to be involved in the STPs?

Thank you very much, these questions are submitted by Andrew Birchall on the behalf of the Protect Chorley hospital from cuts and Privatisation Campaign.

This page is intentionally left blank



Report of	Meeting	Date
Chief Executive (Introduced by the Executive Leader)	Executive Cabinet	8 December 2016

BOTANY BAY MASTERPLAN: UPDATE

PURPOSE OF REPORT

1. To update Members on the progress of the Council project to develop an Economic Masterplan for the Botany Bay Area.

RECOMMENDATION(S)

2. That Members note the report and the draft illustrative Masterplan document at Appendix A.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF REPORT

3. In November 2013 the Council adopted the Chorley Local Plan for 2012-2026. This Plan allocated the Botany Bay/ Great Knowley Area as a sub-regional employment and mixed use site under policy EP2.
4. This allocation replicated the size of the site in the previous Local Plan Review Document 2003. The changes within Policy EP2 is the requirement for a Masterplan, an acceptability of other forms of development to secure the delivery of the wider allocation including retail and housing.
5. The Council recognised that this was the second time this area had been allocated in the Local Plan for this use and if the site were not to come forward in this plan period then it would be unlikely to ever be delivered and would have to be deallocated.
6. The Council then set as a corporate priority the Development of an Economic Masterplan for the Botany Bay Area. This project has been progressed with stakeholders and this report updates on progress and introduces an up to date final draft for information.

Confidential report Please bold as appropriate	Yes	No
--	-----	-----------

Key Decision? Please bold as appropriate	Yes	No
--	-----	-----------

Reason Please bold as appropriate	1, a change in service provision that impacts upon the service revenue budget by £100,000 or more	2, a contract worth £100,000 or more
	3, a new or unprogrammed capital scheme of £100,000 or more	4, Significant impact in environmental, social or physical terms in two or more wards

REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATION(S)

(If the recommendations are accepted)

7. Members cannot “approve” the proposed Masterplan as this will be a consideration for any

Planning Application and the Council should not do anything that may give the appearance of fettering any future decision.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND REJECTED

- 8. To take no action on progression of the Corporate Project.

CORPORATE PRIORITIES

- 9. This report relates to the following Strategic Objectives:

Involving residents in improving their local area and equality of access for all		A strong local economy	X
Clean, safe and healthy communities		An ambitious council that does more to meet the needs of residents and the local area	

BACKGROUND

- 10. The land at Botany Bay/ Great Knowley has been in Chorley’s Local Plan since 2003, initially as a site allocated for residential, employment and leisure and latterly in the Local Plan adopted in 2013 as employment and leisure on the Botany Bay part of the site and employment, residential and restaurants/cafes on the Great Knowley part.
- 11. The Council recognised that if this site were to be brought forward for development, it would have to happen in this Local Plan period. Any further delays could demonstrate that this site is not deliverable which in itself would be evidence for deallocation.
- 12. The Council were also concerned that the pressure on the landowners to realise the value in the site may compel them to bring forward an unco-ordinated site delivery. It is acknowledged that the Local Plan requires there to be a Masterplan to show delivery on the site, but policies can be overcome if there is evidence to demonstrate this is appropriate.
- 13. As a result of these concerns, the Council made the project “Develop an Economic Masterplan for the Botany Bay area” part of the corporate strategy.

INITIAL STEPS

- 14. The Council approached the stakeholders and offered to facilitate the preparation of a Masterplan for that area. It was confirmed that the Masterplan would be policy and evidence led, but would attempt to reflect the aspirations of the stakeholders. It was proposed that the Council would lead on the preparation of the Masterplan, appoint the consultant and initially would meet the costs. Stakeholders who wished to participate in the process would be obliged to sign up to a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU).
- 15. The MoU confirmed that the Stakeholders wanted to participate and confirmed the cost of the work and the stakeholders contribution. All the stakeholders except 2 signed. The 2 land holdings of the outstanding stakeholders do not impact on the delivery of the site in that any planning application submitted would include their land and use the Masterplan as evidence in support of that application.
- 16. The Council led MoU approach was felt to be necessary. By leading, the Council, who have no ownership in the site, could provide a view on the needs of the Borough but also represent the interest of local residents who would (other than as consultees) have no voice in the process.
- 17. In preparing the MoU, the stakeholders agreed to the scope of the work for the Masterplan and agreed to contribute to its cost pro rata to the size of their landholding. It is acknowledged that the 2 landowners who did not sign the MoU will get the benefit of the Masterplan but they have lost the opportunity of contributing to its development and put forward their views on the shape of development on their land.

THE MASTERPLAN PREPARATION

18. Planit IE a firm of Architects with a particular expertise in Landscape Architecture, Masterplanning and place shaping were retained. The Council recognised the particular challenges of this site in relation to the mix of uses required being residential, leisure, employment and retail, the particular topography of the site, the existing use and building (the Former Mill), highways and the proximity of the Motorway and the existence of the canal running through the site. Planit have a proven record of delivering successfully a masterplan for this type of scheme having worked on Kirskstall Forge in Leeds, Liverpool Waters, Liverpool and Keybridge House in Vauxhall which were all schemes containing elements also part of the Botany Bay project. The Council proposed the use of Planit to the stakeholders who had signed up to the MoU and their appointment was agreed.
19. Planit firstly identified the context of the site. The challenges of the site (recognised above) were considered in relation to its location and its surrounding area. They acknowledged the proximity of the residents of Great Knowley, recognising that any development should limit its impact on the established community, particularly in terms of visibility and highways.
20. The topography and land conditions were considered important factors in delivery on the site and would contribute to the zoning of uses but also the visual appearance of development. The canal and the slope up to Knowley Brow were features which could be incorporated into any design.
21. It was also considered that the land at Gale Moss, which does not form part of EP2 should be included in any Masterplan. This land is allocated as employment land within the Local Plan and any development at Botany Bay/Great Knowley has a clear impact on the Gale Moss site and vice versa. It was also recognised that bringing the sites together in a single Masterplan would allow greater control over the delivery of the wider site and the Corporate Strategy outcomes.

Priorities and Principles

22. The clear instruction to Planit was that any proposed Masterplan should be capable of receiving a recommendation for approval by the Council's planning service. It should be evidence driven and where possible compliant with the Local Plan. It was not the case that Planit were directed to depart from the Local Plan but it was recognised that the stakeholders, as land owner / developers should have the opportunity to maximise the value of their ownership. This often leads to a departure from planning policy, but the Council's "control" over this was that any departure should be evidence based and support the purpose of the Local Plan. In addition Planit were instructed, with the agreement of the stakeholders, that any proposed Masterplan must specifically limit harm to Chorley Town Centre.
23. Planit fixed the following priorities and principles for their commission
 - a. The proposed Masterplan should incorporate the Gale Moss site;
 - b. The proposed Masterplan should be as far as possible compliant with the local policy document EP.2 but it was recognised that departures which could be justified by the inclusion of Gale Moss or other evidence should be incorporated where the end result was an improvement in the overall scheme;
 - c. The design should incorporate key features of the area to include the canal and topography of the site, ensuring that any proposal was sensitive to the area;
 - d. The interests of the residents of Great Knowley particularly in relation to highways issues should be protected as far as possible;
 - e. The proposal should produce a high quality destination location;
 - f. The vibrancy of Chorley Town centre should be protected;
 - g. The value of the landowners interests should be maximised within the agreed commission priorities and principles.

Options

24. A vision document was initially prepared and circulated to stakeholders who had signed up to the MoU in June of this year. This put forward 3 proposals on site use and layout.

Fundamentally the use of Gale Moss for each was the same (employment and or light industrial). Early Gale Moss delivery is considered to be essential to justify the delivery of the wider masterplan area including a higher level of housing and less employment floorspace. Viability of individual parcels and the site as a whole will influence the final distribution of uses in order to ensure a deliverable Masterplan development. The Botany Bay/ Great Knowley site options were different based on 3 approaches to delivery. Firstly, there was a residential lead development. Whilst this would maximise the value of the site, there was insufficient evidence to support such a significant departure from the Local Plan. This site (particularly the Botany Bay part) is allocated for Employment use (EP1.2) with the Great Knowley part being a mixed allocation to include employment and housing with the justification for housing being via the Masterplanning of the wider site. A residential lead development would be too much of a departure from the allocation and would be unlikely to be approved.

25. The second option was a Landscape lead development. This whilst being most sensitive to the locality it significantly limited the developable area of the site. In order to be a viable option, there would have had to have been a greater proportion of residential accommodation than proposed within the local plan and again this was felt to be too much of a departure from policy to be recommended for approval.
26. The final option was a merging of the earlier two. It retained the split on the site caused by the canal with residential use being allowed on the East of the canal. A greater area was allowed for residential, with the topography of the site being the limiting factor for development rather than a landscape driven design. To the West of the canal there would be a mix of retail, leisure and office driven uses. This proposal also contained the option to retain the Mill Building as a landmark, suggesting that the Mill's appearance could be enhanced and it could contribute to the destination feel of the development. Accesses to the site were proposed to be as existing to Botany Bay with a new access off A675 being created into the Great Knowley side of the development. This was to limit the impact of traffic on the existing Great Knowley residents.
27. The third option was agreed to be the preferred one and Planit were instructed to develop this proposal in consultation with the stakeholders and obtain evidence to support this delivery.
28. This option has been developed with stakeholders and their specific aspirations have been included in the scheme. A copy of the up to date draft Masterplan is appended to this report for information.

Evidence

29. Topographical and Site Survey Reports have already been obtained which contributed to the design of the scheme and its development. Now there is a draft scheme setting out the quantum of the development the following evidence is being obtained to confirm deliverability of the scheme.
30. A Market Assessment is being prepared. This specifically addresses the retail and leisure aspects of the proposal. It considers the proposed developable areas within the scheme and advises over whether there would be;
 - a. market interest in this type of development in this location;
 - b. potential occupiers of the units; and
 - c. potential incomes.
31. This evidence is important as it contributes to the consideration of the deliverability of the scheme. If there is no interest in this type of scheme in this location then the stakeholders would need to reassess their aspirations.
32. A Retail Impact Assessment will be undertaken when the quantum of retail and type of retail has been established. This will inform on the likely impact of this development on retail centres in the region, including but not limited to Chorley Town Centre. This is an important document particularly in relation to any planning application as it will be evidence that supports the scheme. By leading on the Masterplan the Council were able to stress the need to protect the town centre in particular.
33. As part of the development of the Masterplan Planit have worked with Highways England and LCC Highways to assess the impacts on junction 9 of the M61 and the highways

network in that area. Initially this was limited to the motorway junction and the Hartwood Roundabout, recognising the additional traffic generated in that area. In addition however, Highways England are willing to invest in undertaking some modelling of the impact on the highways network caused by this proposed development, the Market Walk extension, Euxton Lane Digital Health Park and proposed developments in the A49 area with the Council agreeing to fund the initial surveys.

- 34. Again this will be used as evidence in the event an application is made for planning consent for the Botany Bay / Great Knowley / Gale Moss site.

INVOLVEMENT OF CBC GOING FORWARD

- 35. As stated above, Chorley Council are not a landowner on that site. As such the Council’s interest relates the management of the delivery and long term sustainability of the site. We are also very keen to ensure that proper engagement is done with other none landowning stakeholders. As a result the Council are arranging meetings with local Councillors and with LCC Councillors as well as the residents of Great Knowley to ensure they understand the proposals. This is in addition to the obligations on any applicant for planning consent to consult.
- 36. It is not proposed for the Council to take any further stake in the site.

MASTERPLAN AND ITS USE

- 37. The Local Plan requires any application for planning consent to demonstrate through a masterplan the comprehensive development of the site. This recognises that the site has a number of proposed uses including residential. The Masterplan would ensure that developers could not simply “cherry pick” the best parts of the allocation for their parcel of land. It requires the landowners to work together to show coherent delivery of the allocation across the site.
- 38. The Masterplan will be used to support any planning application as evidence. It will show how all the parcels of land within the allocation will contribute to the Local Plan. The Masterplan will not show the detail of the applications but will show the zoning and quantum of development.
- 39. Stakeholders who have paid their financial contribution to the cost of the development of the Masterplan will receive not only the Masterplan document itself but also have copies of the supporting evidence which will be required to demonstrate the deliverability of the scheme.

IMPLICATIONS OF REPORT

- 40. This report has implications in the following areas and the relevant Directors’ comments are included:

Finance		Customer Services	
Human Resources		Equality and Diversity	
Legal		Integrated Impact Assessment required?	
No significant implications in this area	X	Policy and Communications	

COMMENTS OF THE STATUTORY FINANCE OFFICER

- 41. The costs of the masterplan will be met from contributions from the site’s various stakeholders and the carried forward inward investment budget. The additional works regarding the improvements to the highway network will be met from the furthering key employment sites budget.

COMMENTS OF THE MONITORING OFFICER

- 42. Members are not being asked to approve the Masterplan document. They do not have the authority to do so. The document will be used to support any planning application made in

that area and the proper place to consider its planning relevance is at Development Control Committee. Members are being asked to consider the progress of the project and note the principles used to develop the Masterplan proposal.

GARY HALL
CHIEF EXECUTIVE

There are no background papers to this report.

Report Author	Ext	Date	Doc ID
Chris Moister	5160	22 November 2016	***

- Key**
- EP1.1 - Plot A
 - EP1.1 - Plot B
 - EP1.1 - Plot C
 - EP1.1 - Plot D
 - EP1.1 - Plot E
 - EP1.1 - Plot F
 - EP1.2 - Plot A (North Site)
 - EP1.2 - Plot B (South Site)
 - Access to car parking
 - Service access for retail
 - ▶ Primary vehicle access
 - ▶ Secondary vehicle access
 - ▶ Emergency access
 - Existing footbridge
 - New footbridge



Schedule of Accommodation

Botany Bay Site - EP1.1

Botany Bay Site - EP1.1 - South	Floor level	Area		Use Class	Use Class Description	Use Class Area (sqft)		
		m ²	sqft			Retail (A1)	Restaurant/Cafe (A3)	Hotel (C1)
Building 1	0	929	10000	A1	Retail	10000	0	0
Total Building Area		929	10000			10000	0	0
Building 2 (Mill)	Existing Retail	4600	49514	A1	Retail	49514	0	0
Total Building Area		4600	49514			49514	0	0
Building 3	0	2406	25900	A1	Retail	25900	0	0
	1 (mezzanine)	383	4100	A1	Retail	4100	0	0
Total Building Area		2787	30000			30000	0	0
Building 4	0	2121	22830	A1	Retail	22830	0	0
	1 (mezzanine)	573	6170	A1	Retail	6170	0	0
Total Building Area		2694	29000			29000	0	0
Building 5	0	124	1340	A3	Restaurant/Cafe	0	1340	0
Total Building Area		124	1340			0	1340	0
Overall Total Areas		11135	119854			118514	1340	0
Botany Bay Site - EP1.1 - North		Floor	m ²	sqft	Use Class	Use Class Area (sqft)		
						Retail (A1)	Restaurant/Cafe (A3)	Hotel (C1)
Building 6	0	124	1340	A3	Restaurant/Cafe	0	1340	0
Total Building Area		124	1340			0	1340	0
Building 7	0	2585	27830	A1	Retail	27830	0	0
	1 (mezzanine)	573	6170	A1	Retail	6170	0	0
Total Building Area		3159	34000			34000	0	0
Building 8	0	1858	20000	A1	Potential Food Retail	20000	0	0
Total Building Area		1858	20000			20000	0	0
Building 9	0	223	2400	A3	Restaurant/Cafe	0	2400	0
Total Building Area		223	2400			0	2400	0
Building 10	0	593	6383	C1	Hotel - 44 bed	0	0	6383
	1 (mezzanine)	593	6383	C1	Hotel - 44 bed	0	0	6383
	2	593	6383	C1	Hotel - 44 bed	0	0	6383
Total Building Area		1779	19149			0	0	19149
Building 11	0	621	6684	A4	Restaurant/Drinking Establishment	0	6684	0
Total Building Area		621	6684			0	6684	0
Overall Total Area		7764	83573			54000	10424	19149
Overall Total for Botany Bay Site		18899	203427			172514	11764	19149

Schedule of Accommodation

Land ownership - EP1.1 & EP1.2

Botany Bay Site - EP1.2		Use	Class	Area Per Floor/unit		No. of Units
South Site - Plot A	Use			sqft	m ²	
Building 1	Retail	A1	10000	929	2	
Building 2 (Mill)	Retail (existing)	A1	49514	4600	N/A	
	Retail	A1	25000	2406	7	
Building 3	Retail (inc. mezzanine)	A1	4100	383	1	
	Retail	A1	22830	2121	5	
Building 4	Retail (inc. mezzanine)	A1	4170	573	1	
Building 5	Restaurant/Cafe	A3	1340	124	1	
Total EP1.2 South			392994	11135	15	
North Site - Plot B		Use	Class	sqft	m ²	No. of Units
Building 6	Restaurant/Cafe	A3	1340	124	1	
Building 7	Retail	A1	27830	2585	6	
	Retail (inc. mezzanine)	A1	6170	573	1	
Building 8	Retail	A1	20000	1858	1	
Building 9	Restaurant/Cafe	A3	2413	224	1	
Building 10	Hotel (44 bed, 3 storey)	C1	19149	1779	1	
Building 11	Restaurant/Drinking Establishment	A4	6684	621	1	
Total EP1.2 North			172514	11764	11	
EP1.2 Overall Total Units					26	
Great Knowley - EP1.1		Use	Class	ha	Area	m ²
Plot A	Residential	C3	2.77	27700		
	Open space		4.02	40200		
Plot B	Residential	C3	0.681	6810		
	Open space		0.334	3340		
Plot C	Open space		1.559	15590		
Plot D	Residential	C3	1.92	19200		
	Open space		0.42	4200		
Plot E	Residential	C3	1.57	15700		
	Open space		5.53	55300		
Plot F	Residential	C3	1.047	10470		
	Open space		0.64	6400		
EP1.1 Total Units					250	

Client Chorey Council

Project Botany Bay Chorley

Drg Title Illustrative Masterplan Option 2A

Created on 14.09.16 **Created by** LF **Approved by** AR


Scale 1:2000 **Size** A1 **Status** Comment

Drg No. PL1512-VW-008-02 **Issue** 02

Client Planit Intelligent Environments LLP
 E: info@planit-ie.com W: planit-ie.com
MANCHESTER 2 Back Grafton St Altrincham WA14 1DY T: 0161 928 9281
LONDON 18 Bowling Green Lane EC1R 0BW T: 0207 253 5678

NOTE:
 1. Do not scale from this drawing. Always work to noted dimensions.
 2. All dimensions are in millimetres unless otherwise stated.
 3. All setting out, levels and dimensions to be agreed on site.
 4. The dimensions of all materials must be checked on site before being laid out.
 5. This drawing must be read with the relevant specification clauses and detail drawings.
 6. Order of construction and setting out to be agreed on site.

Issue	Date	Status	Drawn	Apprvd.
01	20.10.16	For Comment	LF	AR
00	28.09.16	For Comment	LF	AR



This page is intentionally left blank